G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

A-1-1886(1-7)
" 638402-03(2)
" 30-05(344)
J 7 h Later References.

Revenue

1917.18

(Courts)

File No. 68-17

Serial Nos. 142

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 142

Part B for February 1918.

SUBJECT.

Proposal to increase the pay of the Registrar Chief Court-

---

2) To the Registrar Chief Court-

No J 3481 J 22-2-1918

States that no Case has been made out for any increase of the emoluments either of the appointing itself or of the present holder of it.

W D 88—GPS—58,000—24-7-16

Asst Secretary

G. R. S. 11] 1917.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 68-17

FILE HEADING.

Proposal to increase the pay of the Registrar, Chief Court

From

The Registrar, Chief Court.

No. C. 314 Dated 11.9.17 Received 12.9.17

Diary No. 6123 Enclos. 2 Spare Copies. Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards the representation of N. T. Gopala Iyenga praying for increase of salary and recommends that the rank & pay of the Registrar may be raised to Rs so as to be midway between Sub Judges & Dt Judges or as an alternative that the present incumbent be given a p.a. of Rs 100 with effect from 1.7.1917

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted with available references. A comparative Statement* showing the pay of the Registrar & the Dt & Sub Judges in Mysore & in some Br Provinces is put up.

Asst 28/9

P.T.O.

* Vide Pay Rs of this Officer pp 56-17

NOTES—First Sheet.

ND 1283—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 68 of 1917.

Serial No.

2) The Chief Court recommend that the rank and pay of the Registrar, Chief Court may be raised so as to be mid way between the Subjudges and the District Judges and that, if the suggestion be not feasible, the present incumbent (Mr N.I. Gopala Ayengar) may be given a personal allowance of Rs 100/- a month. The reasons for the first recommendation are the analogy in the British Indian High Courts, increase of work consequent on the enactment of the New Civil Procedure Code, and the increase of Civil Courts' litigation and legislative activity, and the contemplated establishment of new criminal Courts. In ~~regard to~~ support of the alternative recommendation, the reason urged is that Mr Gopala Ayengar brought out an excellent Digest of the Mysore Chief Court Reports.

3) There does not appear to be any justification for the second suggestion. In the special work done in connection with the Digest, the Chief Court have recommended the payment of an honorarium of Rs 200/-, and the question is under consideration of Govt. There are no reasons for granting in addition a personal allowance of Rs 100/- a month.

4) As regards the first recommendation, it is no doubt true that the Registrars in the British Indian High Courts are given a Salary higher than that of the Subjudges, and Govt invariably the

From 3. Paper [illegible]

x The Hon'ble State Chief Consult

S
See Page 29 of the Collection

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | To File No. 68 of 1917

Serial No.

appointment is held by an I.C.S. officer who is generally appointed as a District Judge. This also accounts for the fact that the pay is higher than the pay of the Indian District Judges. The reasons therefor for this higher rank and Salary are special, and do not apply here. Further the fact that the office carries a higher salary in British India cannot by itself be held to be a sufficient ground for its increase here. Such analogies are sometimes very misleading. For instance, a first class Munsiff in British India getting 400 Rs is one-tenth of the pay of a Puisne Judge of the High Court, and if the same proportion be followed here the officer of a similar status would get no more than Rs 150/-

5/ The contemplated establishment of new criminal courts cannot be seriously put forward as a ground for increase of pay to the Registrar of the Chief Court. It is a contingency which has not yet arisen, and even if the new courts are established, it may only take the place of the existing courts.

6/ Further the recommendation of Sanctioned, will limit the choice of Govt in the appointment of Registrars. As recently settled, the Registrar may be appointed from among the Subordinates, some qualified to be appointed as a Subordinate. A junior officer of less standing

Notification no 94223 dated 23-11-37 of 5-6-1915 22-14-3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 68 of 1917.

Serial No.

duly qualified may also be appointed as Registrar. But under the proposed Scheme, a Senior will have to be appointed whether he is fit to discharge the duties of a ministerial appt like that of the Registrar.

7. If however Govt consider that a strong case is made out for increased emoluments, the best course will be to grant a local allowance of Rs 75/-, so that whoever is appointed as Registrar may draw the same. The question of granting an allowance of Rs 50/- was however considered by Govt in 1903 but the recommendation of the Chief Court was not viewed with favour and so was not sanctioned.

SS
31/1/18

Chief Secretary)

8. No good case has been made out for an increase of salary & the grant of a local allowance to the Registrar.

9. The Registrar's case is different from that of Asstt Surgs & Asstts to certain Heads of Depts to whom local allces are granted, partly in consideration of heavy work, costly living at Headquarters, loss of certain amenities incidental to executive service &c. These considerations do not apply to Subjudges & Registrar

1/18 member)

CBS
5/1/18
5.1.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

627

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

5.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | cts File No. 68 of 1917

Serial No.

Regr will please examine & lay subject separately for orders.

7/11/17

Explanation is submitted separately.

9.1

10. This enactment of has been changed 3 months in the Secretariat - after the Standing Orders. Please

11. The expenditure is entirely of a technical nature which would be mixed up with the expenditure of the Depts.

12. On technical grounds, 2 general with the Chief Secy thinks Case is made out. Consider the question is such today with about land reporting - it may

13. be considered at an early meeting of the Council.

7.11.17

he asked - whether the - all. is payable in the Centuries of the case

as they

7/11/17

14. Prior to 1896, there was no official publication of the Law Reports "under authority," but one Mr Graves Raper was permitted to edit the Reports, 100 copies to each being purchased by Govt. Subsequently in 1897, the Registrar, Chief Court was appointed as official Law Reporter without any remuneration, and under the supervision of the Chief Judge, the Registrar has to prepare the head notes and index. Subsequently as the Registrar found it difficult to cope with the work, a separate Law Reporter was appointed in 1903 on pay of Rs 150/-.

No 1872-3-J 175-
3/8/1897

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

B

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

6

4623 A

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 68 of 1917.

Serial No.

15,

But as some of the old Reports were in arrears and had not been printed, the Registrar was asked to do the same and he was paid some honorarium for the same. In 1906, Govt found that the appointment of a Separate Law Reporter unnecessary, and abolished the appointment from 1st January 1907. They directed the permanent establishment of the Chief Court under the Supervision of the Registrar to prepare the Index of the Law reporting without extra remuneration and report the cases marked by the Judges for the purpose. No payment is made for this work to any officer in the Chief Court for this work from 1907. It is also informally ascertained that from a memorandum Sir Standley Jenkins says, the cases for report are selected by the Judges themselves, that the head note is written by them, and that the final proof are also revised by them. The Chief Court now contains a number of Judges paid double salaries, and the Registrar who is of the rank and status of a Munsiff also goes on the Bench and gets the routine work done by the assistance of the office clerks. It seems therefore unnecessary that the Registrar's work in connection with the Law Reporting is not such as to deserve a special allowance.

Chief Secretary)

16, 1st Member -

may be considered in Council when Exp meeting

Put circulate

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

7

(For Disposal at [a] Meeting)

No. C. 386

22/1/1918.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

(Clause v of the Schedule)

18 Question of raising the status and emoluments of Mr. N.T. Gopalaiengar, Registrar, Chief Court.

19 CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

C S Balasundaram
Chief Secretary to Government.

15th January 1918.

---

20 H.H. the Extraordinary Member of Council.

25.1.18

21 First Member of Council.

22 Second Member of Council.

Opinion recorded.

WD 2670—GPB—1,000—11-5-17

8

Extract from the Proceedings of the ...139th... Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at ...Bangalore, on the ...31st January... 19 13

---

15. Subject.-Clause v of the Schedule.

23 Question of raising the status and emoluments of Mr. N.T.Gopala Iyengar, Registrar, Chief Court.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

24 No case has been made out for any increase of emoluments.

Sd. M. Visvesvaraya,

Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

C.S. Balasundaram

Chief Secretary to Government.

W. D. 1364—GPB—200—14-12-15

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No.	of 191 .

Serial No.

25 D.t. & maybe approved.

(1st member) 26 [illegible] 9/2/18

27 C.J. Putup D.m.

28 D.m. submitted.

Dewan 9/2/18

11-16 / 11.2.18

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:— Recommendation of the Chief Court for enhancing the emoluments of the Registrar.

The proposal is that the salary of the Registrar of the Chief Court may be raised to Rs.700 so as to be midway between those of the Subordinate and District Judges or that the present incumbent of the appointment, Mr.N.T.Gopaliengar, may be granted a personal allowance of Rs.100 per mensem in consideration of his "yeoman's service" especially in connection with the preparation of a Digest of the Chief Court Reports and the heavy duties of the office including law-reporting for which no separate remuneration is given.

2. The Registrar of the Chief Court has been advisedly ranked with Subordinate Judges in the grade of Rs.500-$\frac{50}{2}$- 600. The British Indian analogy, on which the recommendation of the Chief Court for enhancing this scale of pay is based, has no force, considering the fact that the corresponding appointments in British India are generally filled by officers of the Indian Civil Service whose salary is regulated by special considerations. The work connected with Law Reporting has generally been regarded as forming part of the ordinary duties of the Registrar and the volume of additional work devolving on him on this account can by no means be very considerable as it is understood that the Judges themselves mark the cases to be reported and the Registrar has the help of competent subordinates to compile and publish them.

3. As regards the special work done by Mr.Gopaliengar in connection with the preparation of the Digest of the Chief

Court Reports, a recommendation is separately under submission for granting him a remuneration of two months' pay for this work.

331

4. The Chief Court have also urged other arguments in support of their ~~argument~~ recommendation. But these are too weak to require serious consideration.

84

5. Under the circumstances explained above, the Council are of opinion that no case has been made out for any increase of the emoluments either of the appointment ~~of~~ itself or of the present holder of it. The Chief Court may be informed accordingly.

Page 7 of these Notes

9th February 1918.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

9.2.18

Submitted to His Highness.

35

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second *Member of Council on the subject of* enhancing the emoluments of Mr. N. T. Gopala Iyengar, Registrar, Chief Court, *for His Highness' gracious approval.*

M. Krishnaraja

Dewan.

12th Feb 1918

C.886

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

36) Question of enhancing the emoluments of Mr. N. T. Gopala Iyengar, -- Registrar, Chief Court.

921

37) ***Order.***

~~KRW~~

14/2/18

38) Chief Secretary

15.2.18

39)

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C.S. File No. 68 of 1917.

Serial No.	
10	Subd with a Draft to the Regr, Chief Court Issue 2 To The Registrar Chief Court J 3481 22nd Feb 1918 States that no case has been made out for any increase of the emoluments either of the Appointment itself or of the present holder of it.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2. No: 314 with enclosure.
1917-18

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore,

Dated 11th September 1917.

From

N. T. Gopaliengar Esq., B.A., LL.B.,

Registrar of the

Chief Court of Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I am desired by the Judges to forward for favour of consideration by Government a representation by the undersigned for an increase of salary and in doing so the Judges desire to say that apart from the personal claims pressed by Mr. Gopaliengar there appear to their mind reasonable grounds for enhancing the status and emoluments of the office of the Registrar of this Court. The office was once classed with that of a District and Sessions Judge, and in the British Indian High Courts the Registrar's salary is about midway between that of a first class Subordinate Judge and of a District Judge. The office files also show that for over a decade from 1896 to 1907 the Registrar of this Court was given an allowance of Rs.50/- a month as ex-officio Law Reporter and that during the last 3 years and more of this period it was considered necessary to have a separate Law Reporter on Rs.150/- a month. With the enactment of the new Civil Procedure Code and the increase of civil Courts, litigation and legislative activity, there has been a substantial increase in the administrative work of this Court the burden of which falls

falls chiefly on the Registrar. Further the contemplated establishment of new Criminal Courts under the separation scheme now pending orders of the Government will materially add to his work.

2. Mr. Gopaliengar has in addition done yeoman's service without prejudice to his routine duties as Registrar in bringing out an excellent digest of the Chief Court reports, a work of enduring value bound to be most useful now and in the future to the Bench and Bar of this Province.

The proposal to give an honorarium of Rs.1,000 for the work is under the consideration of Government

3. In these circumstances I am to add that the Judges recommend that the Government may be pleased to raise the rank and pay of the Registrar so as to be midway between the Sub Judges and District Judges, and fix his salary at Rs.700/-. Should the Government think that such a revision of the Registrar's post is not feasible at once they recommend as an alternative, that the present incumbent Mr. Gopaliengar may be granted a personal allowance of Rs.100/- from the 1st of July 1917 and that the general proposal to raise the rank and pay of the Registrar's post for all time to come be considered later.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Venkata Ranga Iyengar

for REGISTRAR.

Submitted.

I submit I entered service as Probationer on the 10th August 1894 on a special recommendation of Mr. Justice T.R.A. Thambu Chetty desired to be made by His Highness the late Maharajah Sri Chamarajendra Wodiyar Bahadur. I was then appointed Munsiff on the 5th June 1895. From that date I continued to be Munsiff up to the 27th January 1913. During this period I acted as Sub Judge of Shimoga twice for 5½ months and twice at Mysore for short periods. In January 1913 I was directed to proceed from Chickballapur to take charge of the Mysore Sub Court when Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao was taken to the Secretariat. I was there from 28th January to 19th February 1913. Mr. Subbanna was posted as Sub Judge, Mysore, probably by reason of his having commanded an extensive practice in the Bangalore Courts as an Advocate, and I was transferred from Mysore to Bangalore. Mr. Subbanna took charge from me on the 19th February 1913 and I took charge of the Bangalore Sub Court on the following day, and thus there was a break of 24 hours in my service as Sub Judge by reason of which Mr. Subbanna happens to be my senior by a day though I was appointed Sub Judge 22 days before him. Mr. Subbanna was confirmed as Sub Judge on the ground apparently of his technical seniority of 24 hours though I had rendered conscientious service on the Bench for nearly 20 years. It is not my desire to complain of my supersession by Mr. Subbanna, and on the other hand I am thankful to the Government for confirming me as the next Subordinate Judge. The Judges are not unaware of the nature of work done by me as Munsiff and Subordinate Judge. As Registrar my work has been constantly before the Judges to need any detailed mention by me here. I submit I found the Chief Court office in a

state

state of disorder when I took charge of it especially the record section of it. By constant supervision of the work of the additional establishment specially sanctioned for the purpose, the records which were liable to destruction from the commencement (1835) have been separated and destroyed, and the important records which are to be preserved have been picked out and are being arranged into open files, and I hope to get them arranged within the end of this month.

2. The Chief Judge is aware that I read through the new Civil Digest and re-arranged it under his direct orders. This Digest is ready for issue but the Government Press is unable to print off the index which is to go along with the book.

The Criminal Digest has next to be revised and a new edition printed. There is much demand already for an up-to-date edition, and if new Magistrates' Courts are established, as they are likely to be under the separation scheme, a new Criminal Digest will be a desideratum.

3. The Chief Judge was pleased to allow me the discretion to dispose of routine official matters relating to the Subordinate Courts, and I am trying my very best to do the work so kindly entrusted to me to the entire satisfaction of the Judges.

4. On my suggestion the Chief Judge was pleased to order the introduction of the Disposal Number system of correspondence into the Chief Court from 1st July 1916 as a trial and now it is adopted permanently. The correspondence papers are I submit steadily on the increase and the important files are usually heavy of late as the

Judges

Judges are aware. In several cases the files containing the opinions of the Judges of the lower courts have to be carefully studied before submission notes are prepared for orders. Our reviews of the periodical returns received from the subordinate courts are more minute and thorough than they were previously. I have submitted for favour of perusal a statement shewing the number of files in the correspondence branch dealt with by me during the last official year.

5. I respectfully submit that I have given my careful attention to every detail of office requirement and arrangement such as books, furniture etc., and have obtained the orders of the Chief Judge regarding the disposal of furniture and complied with the requisitions of all the subordinate Courts without causing loss to the Government by any hasty sale of articles of furniture or books not necessary for our use.

6. My predecessor Mr. Rajaratna Mudaliar was granted for several years an allowance of Rs.50 for doing reporting work in the Chief Court till an official Law Reporter was appointed on Rs.150/- in June 1903. This new appointment was brought under reduction under the Civil Service Rules of June 1906 when the Law reporting work was again entrusted to the Registrar from January 1907 who was ranked as a Senior Assistant Commissioner in view to effecting a saving. Thus at present the Registrar is the official Law Reporter without any remuneration for no fault of his. Nevertheless the Law reporting is being supervised now under the guidance of the Judges more efficiently than when a separate Law Reporter was employed.

7. My predecessor Mr. Rajaratna Mudaliar made a representation to the then Chief Judge in September 1913 for

for being ranked along with the Deputy Secretary to the Government so as to be midway between the Subordinate Judges and District Judges on the grounds that he had to exercise quasi-judicial powers, to discharge the duties of Secretary to the Rule Committee constituted under the new Civil Procedure Code and to revise the Civil and Criminal Digests besides other personal grounds. He further pointed out that the status of the Registrar of the British Indian High Courts and of the Chief Courts of Punjab and Burmah was always above the rank of a Sub Judge.

8. The then Chief Judge was pleased to recommend Mr. Rajaratna Mudaliar's case by a D.O. letter for the favourable consideration of the Government, but unfortunately before the Government could pass orders he died.

9. I submit that two new Munsiffs' courts were recently established and that I have been doing all the work that fell to my predecessor and more, and have finished the Civil Digest and will soon take up the Criminal Digest for revision as already stated. I have compiled a consolidated Digest of the Chief Court reports which none of my predecessors undertook to do.

10. I submit that the separation of the judicial from the executive functions in the State will soon become an accomplished fact in which event the duties of the Registrar which are already heavy and onerous will become much more so.

11. The Government were pleased to rank Mr. Hemaji Rao as a District Judge and to sanction the pay of that grade to him on account of his faithful services to the Government. I submit I am rendering as conscientious service as any of my predecessors did.

12. My submission at present is that I am due to retire a little after 1919 after completing 25 years of service. I am not likely to earn full pension on account of deductions from my pension on technical grounds. I submit therefore that the Judges may be pleased to recommend me to the Government for either raising the status and pay of the Registrar of the Chief Court so as to be somewhere midway between the Sub Judges and the District Judges or they may be pleased to grant me a special personal allowance which may count towards my pension when retiring. The Government have been very liberal in granting personal and local or special allowances to officers of other departments who turn out heavy special work and I submit that my case may be specially recommended to Government for similar consideration on the ground of the special hard work which falls to my share. A further ground is that my advancement in service has been retarded by direct appointments made from the Bar.

13. Some Under Secretaries to Government and several officers of the Public Works Department whose rank is lower than that of the Registrar are getting Rs.50 to 100 as special allowance.

Page 373, 1663/38 Civil Dist.

14. Under the above circumstances if the Judges are pleased to deem it fair and desirable I respectfully request that I may be recommended to the Government for the grant of the rank and pay of a District Judge or to be placed at least midway between the Sub Judges and District Judges with a salary of Rs.750/- without prejudice to the claims of Mr. Subbanna for a District Judgeship in the very next vacancy.

15. If the Government are not prepared to consider at once the raising of the rank and pay of the Registrar's

post

post for all time to come, I request my case may be specially recommended for at least a personal allowance from the 1st of July 1917 as an alternative, so as to enable me to earn my full pension for the rest of my life after retirement.

Sd/- N. T. Gopaliengar.

C O P Y.

S. Venkata Ranga Iyengar

for REGISTRAR.

Statement showing the work done in the office of the Chief Court of Mysore during the year 1916-17.

Serial No.	Items.	Number received during the year.	Number finally disposed of during the year.	Number pending at the end of the year.	Number pending in the office.		Number pending reply from the subordinate office.		Remarks.
					For over three months.	For over six months	For over three months	For over 6 months.	
1.	References from Government.	455.	425.	30	-	1	5	3	
2.	References from Subordinate and Co-ordinate offices.	1094.	983.	111.	13.	4	16	5	
3.	Petitions.	114	108	6	-	-	-	-	
4.	Periodical reports and returns (Civil and Criminal).	664.	650.	14.	-	-	-	-	
5.	Other categories of business, if any.	-	- N	i	1.	-	-	-	
	Total.	2,327.	2,166.	161 *	13.	5	21	8	* 67 of these have since been disposed of.

Chief Secretary
9.2.18 RC

Respectfully submitted.

13296

Memo for favour of consideration.

C.S.
Place on the file
ABS
11/2/18

I have represented my claim

(1) for an honorarium for preparing the Digest of Law Reports, and (C.277)

(2) for a personal allowance for doing heavy work as a Registrar (C.336).

in this office letters Nos. C. 261 and 314 of 1917-18 dated 24th August and 11th September 1917 respectively, and the Judges were pleased to support my case under each head. To render my case stronger I submit the following additional facts for favourable consideration:

(1) There was not a single Practitioner to come forward to prepare a Digest early and if I had not undertaken to do the work the Government would in all probability have on the proposal of the Chief Court been under the necessity of appointing an officer of the Judicial Department on special duty for its preparation with at least the minimum salary of Rs.150/- the initial pay of a last grade Munsiff. As I have now acquired an idea of the quantity of the work which had to be done, such officer with an establishment of 2 or 3 officials would have taken not less than a year to finish the manuscripts and then the printing of the work in the Government Press or

elsewhere would have taken its own time.

The pay of the special duty officer would have cost Rs.1800/- a year and his establishment of at least two officials Rs.50/- per month or Rs.600/- a year, or Rs.2400/- in all excluding the cost of printing and paper. The total cost at present incurred is Rs.3409-11-8 including the salary of two clerks for four months and one for four months only and this has been more than realised already by the sale of books to the public and by distribution of free copies to the Courts and public offices in the State. I did the work as a loyal public servant of the Government feeling confident that my work would not fail to be appreciated by the Government. Had I undertaken the work as my private concern I would have fixed what price I thought proper for the book, sold them to the Government and the public with all the facilities of a private publisher and have made a large profit in the business besides being the owner of the copyright. The Digest copies in stock would also have remained my own property and I would be selling them year after year issuing at the same time annual supplements.

In the Revenue Department, Mr. K. Srinivasa Rao, retired Deputy Commissioner was employed by the Government on special duty to prepare the Village and Taluk Manuals and he was assisted

Govt. Order No.R.5960-70/L.R. 249-13-16 dated 3rd February 1914.

by an Amildar Mr. Hayagriva Rao who was placed on special duty with a small establishment. The cost of this arrangement was perhaps much more than that of the Digest of law reports though the work turned out could not have been as much.

In consideration of all these circumstances I claimed Rs.2500/- but the Judges considered Rs.2000/- as sufficient and I defered to their judgment with gratitude.

I submit the Government may be pleased to consider these facts in arriving at a decision regarding the honorarium to be awarded to me.

2. Re the increase of pay to the Registrar's post or personal allowance to me.

I submit that the appointment of Mr. Subbanna as Sub Judge directly from the Bar when I was already acting in that capacity was made by the Government perhaps as a trial of the principle of direct recruitment. This occasioned untold disadvantage to me which I feel I did not deserve for the faithful services I rendered for a period of 19 years prior to February 1913. I was not declared by Government as wanting in capacity to be continued as Sub Judge but I was only transferred to Bangalore in the same capacity in an acting

vacancy whereas Mr. Subbanna got a sub protem place, and was confirmed before me.

I had to submit to the inevitable and made no representation to the Government claiming preference over that officer, stung by despair and disappointment. I am thus postponed from getting a District Judge's place by reason of that officer being my senior in the Sub Judge's grade by only 24 hours. The chances of my being appointed a District Judge soon after Mr. Subbanna gets his promotion seem to be few.

These circumstances may be taken into their benign consideration by the Government in passing orders on my representation for a personal allowance.

8th July 18

K. Raghavendra Rao
Registrar
Chief Court

COPY OF THE CHIEF JUDGE'S NOTE.

Report to Government that we are negotiating to get it done by private agency. If we can't do that at reasonable cost we will propose to put an officer on special duty for the purpose or make such arrangement as we can.

Sd/- Leslie. C. Miller,

18-7-1915.

Statement Showing the pay of the Registrar and the Dr Sub Judges in Br Provinces in Mysore

	Madras.	Bombay.	Bengal.	Mysore.
Registrar.	1500 – 60 – 1800.	2,000. (Or: Side). 1,700 (App. Side)	1800-40-2000. (Or: Side) 1700 (App. Side)	500 – $\frac{50}{2}$ – 600.
District & Sessions Judges	1st grade: 3000. 2nd " 2500. 3rd " 2250. 4th " 2000. Presd Service: 1500. " 1200	1st grade: 2,500. 2nd " 2.325. 3rd " 1,800. " 1.800 + 100 L.A. " 1800 + 200 P.A + 100 L.A " 1200.	1st grade. 3,000 2nd " 2,500. 3rd " 2,000 " " 1,200	1st class: 1200. 2nd " 1000 3rd " 800.
Subordinate Judges.	1st grade. 800 2nd " 650. 3rd " 500.	1st class. 1st grade: 800. 2nd " 650 3rd " 500 2nd class. 1st grade. 400 2nd " 300 3rd " 250. 4th " 200. 5th " 150.	1st grade: 1000. 2nd " 800. 3rd " 600.	500 – $\frac{50}{2}$ – 600.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Re proposal to increase the pay of the Regr, Chief Court, or grant a personal allowance to the present incumbent

Diary No. of letter replied to J.623-A

Section Court
File No. 68-17
Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(Court)
, the 191

No. J 3487

Date of issue 22/2/18

To

Signed by W. G. Srinivasa

The Registrar, Chief Court

Sir,

With reference to your letter no C. 314/17-18 & 11.9.17 proposing that the salary of the Registrar of the Chief Court may be raised to Rs 700 so as to be midway between those of the Subordinate and District Judges or that the present incumbent of the appointment may be granted a personal allowance of Rs 100 p.m. ~~in consideration of his long~~ I am directed to state that Govt consider that no

over.

been made out for any
of the emoluments either of
ointment itself or of the
holder of it.

Thanks.

for Chief Secretary

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15-11 16